3.6a	Detail of Types of Sources in Sample Villages	192
3.6b	Lack of Drinking Water	193
3.6c	Problem of Drinking Water	193
3.6d	Cause and Lack of Water	193
3.6e	Repair of Hand pump	193
3.9a	Number of Agricultural Instruments in Sample Villages (per households)	194
3.9b	Agricultural Information Staple Crops	194
3.9c	Average Rate of Local Wage in Sample Villages (in Rs.)	194
4.5b	Opinion of Teachers Related to Problem of Distribution of Midday Meal Schem	ne 195
4.5c	Suggestions from Teachers to Uplift the Primary Education	195
4.5d	Profile of Mid-Day Meal Scheme	196
4.5e	Profile of Scholarship Scheme	197
4.6c	Profile of Neo-literate (TLC)	198-199
4.6d	Profile of Voluntary Teachers (TLC)	200-201
5.1a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in Indira Awas Yojana	202-203
5.1b	Profile of Functionaries	204
5.1c	Profile of Functionaries about Rural Development Programme	205-206
5.2a	Perception of Non-Beneficiaries under EAS	207-209
5.2b	Perception on the state of physical infrastructure	209
5.3a	Distribution of Rural Sanitary Latrines among Non-Beneficiaries	210-211
5.4a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Improved Chulha Programme	212-213
6.1a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Old Age Pension Scheme	214
6.2a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Family Benefit Scheme	215-216
6.3a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Maternity Benefit Scheme	217-218
6.7a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Handicapped Scheme	219-220
6.8a	Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Widow Pension Scheme	221-222
6.9	Perception of Maternity Benefit Scheme Beneficiaries about Different Scheme	223
6.9a	Problem of Maternity Benefit Scheme Beneficiaries about Different Scheme	224

Water	Working				Defunct				Total	
Facilities	Personal		Public		Personal		Public			
	Varanasi	Saharanpur	Varanasi	Saharanpur	Varanasi	Saharanpur	Varanasi	Saharanpur	Varanasi	Saharanpur
General Hand Pump	20 (100.0)	1350 (89.4)	-	-	-	160 (10.6)	-	-	20 (100.0)	1510 (100.0)
India Mark-II	-	-	45 (78.9)	59 (92.2)	-	-	12 (21.1)	5 (7.8)	57 (100.0)	64 (100.0)
Ponds	29 (47.5)	17 (56.7)	2 (3.3)	2 (6.7)	27 (44.3)	10 (33.3)	3 (4.9)	1 (3.3)	61 (100.0)	40 (100.0)
Tube-wells	36 (85.2)	329 (97.3)	4 (14.8)	-	-	10 (2.3)	-	1 (0.3)	27 (100.0)	406 (100.0)

Annexure – 3.6a Detail of Types of Sources in Sample Villages

Annexure – 3.6b Lack of Drinking Water

District	Yes	No
Varanasi	4	1
	(80.0)	(20.0)
Saharanpur	1	4
	(20.0)	(80.0)
Total	5	5
	(100.0)	(100.0)

Source: Field Survey.

Annexure – 3.6c Problem of Drinking Water

Particulars	Varanasi	Saharanpur
Low Level of Water	2	-
	(40.0)	
All India Mark-II is Worst	1	-
	(20.0)	
Damage in Hand Pump	-	1
		(20.0)
India Mark-II Below under Population	-	1
		(20.0)
No Problem	2	3
	(40.0)	(60.0)
Total	5	5
	(100.0)	(100.0)

Source: Field Survey.

Annexure – 3.6d Cause and Lack of Water

District	Varanasi	Saharanpur
Falling of Water Level	4	1
-	(80.0)	(20.0)
Lack of Hand-pumps	1	-
	(20.0)	

Source: Field Survey.

Annexure – 3.6e Repair of Hand-pump

District	Village Committee	Block	Govt. Eng.
Varanasi	2	2	1
	(40.0)	(40.0)	(20.0)
Saharanpur	1	4	1
	(20.0)	(80.0)	(20.0)

Annexure – 3.9a Number of Agricultural Instruments in Sample Villages (per households)

Name of Agriculture	No. of Househol	ds per Instruments	No. of Agr. Instruments		
Instrument	Varanasi Saharanpur		Varanasi	Saharanpur	
Tractor	81	6.8	15	181	
Thrasher	28	10.6	43	116	
Private Tube-well	34	3.1	36	395	
Public Tube-well	243	1231	5	1	
Total Family	1215	1231	5	5	

Source: Field Survey.

Particulars		Wheat		Pa	ddy	Suga	rcane
		Varanasi	Saharan- pur	Varanasi	Saharan- pur	Varanasi	Saharan- pur
Average Production	In Qt.	13	14	19	21	-	500
Input Cost	In Rs.	2850	3080	2530	1503	-	6708
	Cash	690	705	1700	2500	-	1585
Per Acre Average	Food Grain (Kg.)	126	142	150	200	-	-
Wage	Family Labour (Man Days)	34	35	40	40	-	90
Average Selling Price	Per Qt. in Village	600	600	475	475	-	85
	Per Qt. in Market	600	600	475	475	-	85

Annexure – 3.9b Agricultural Information Staple Crops

Source: Field Survey.

Annexure – 3.9c
Average Rate of Local Wage in Sample Villages (in Rs.)

Particulars		Present Rate		Before	5 Year	Govt. Wage Rate	
		Varanasi	Saharan-	Varanasi	Saharan-	Present	Before 5
			pur		pur	Rate	Year
Agriculture	Male	60.00	52.00	32.00	40.00	52.00	47.50
Labour	Female	42.00	42.00	21.00	35.00	40.00	37.50
Wages	Male	62.00	60.00	39.00	44.00	52.00	47.50
	Female	44.00	50.00	21.00	40.00	40.00	37.50
Meson	Male	115.00	110.00	69.00	72.00	92.00	82.00
Carpenter/ Painter	Male	115.00	110.00	69.00	72.00	92.00	82.00

Annexure – 4.5 (b) Opinion of Teachers Related to Problem of Distribution of Mid-day Meal Scheme

Particulars	Varanasi	Saharanpur
No Fixed Day for Distribution of Mid-day Meal	2	1
	(40.0)	(20.0)
Scholarship not Provided According to Number of Students	3	-
	(60.0)	
Insufficient Quantity of Nutrition	2	2
	(40.0)	(40.0)
Joint Account with Pradhan for Scholarship	1	-
	(20.0)	
Nutrition should be Distributed in Supervision of Higher	2	3
Authorities	(40.0)	(60.0)
Teachers Involvement in Distribution of Nutrition is Obstructing in	2	3
Teaching	(40.0)	(60.0)
Nutrition not Available, Timely	-	2
		(40.0)
No Problem	2	2
	(40.0)	(40.0)
Total School	5	5
	(100.0)	(100.0)

Particulars	Varanasi	Saharanpur
Appointment of teachers should be according to the number of	2	4
students	(40.0)	(80.0)
No extra work should be taken by teachers expect teaching	4	3
	(80.0)	(60.0)
Awareness to guardian	2	2
	(40.0)	(40.0)
Supervision of schools should be regular	3	1
	(60.0)	(20.0)
Joint-meeting of teachers and guardians	1	2
	(20.0)	(40.0)
Quantity of teaching-aids should be sufficient	2	1
	(40.0)	(20.0)
Total Teachers	5	5
	(100.0)	(100.0)

Annexure – 4.5 (c) Suggestions from Teachers to Uplift the Primary Education

Particulars Var		inasi	Saharanpu	
	Number	Percent		
Gender Status				
Boys	14	56.0	14	53.8
Girls	11	44.0	12	46.2
Caste Status	•			
SC	5	20.0	18	69.2
OBC	15	60.0	7	26.9
General	-	-	1	3.9
Minority	5	20.0	-	-
Condition of the scheme for benefit	•			
Regular Attendance	12	48.0	19	73.1
Poverty	-	-	2	7.7
Do not know	13	52.0	5	19.2
Conditions followed by schools				
Yes	8	32.0	14	53.8
No	3	12.0	5	19.2
Do not know	1	4.0	2	7.7
Distribution of Nutrition	•		•	•
Below 3 Kg.	6	24.0	3	11.5
3 Kg.	17	68.0	23	88.5
Above 3 Kg.	2	8.0	-	-
Quality of Nutrition	•		•	•
Good	15	60.0	18	69.2
Bad	8	32.0	2	7.7
General	2	8.0	6	23.1
Availability of Distribution				
For all students	21	84.0	21	80.8
Not for all students	4	16.0	5	19.2
Place of Distribution				
In village	22	88.0	21	80.8
Other village of concerned revenue village	2	8.0	3	11.5
Out of revenue village	1	4.0	2	7.7
Benefit of Mid-Day Meal				
Food	12	48.0	10	38.5
Do not know	4	16.0	2	7.7
Not more useful	4	16.0	4	15.3
No use	5	20.0	10	38.5
If the programme of Mid-Day Meal should be clo	osed			
Leave study	-	-	4	15.4
Not leave study	25	100.0	22	84.6
If Mid-Day Meal Continue				
Come regularly	17	68.0	21	80.8
Not go regularly	8	32.0	5	19.2
Total Student	25	100.0	26	100.0

Annexure – 4.5 (d) Profile of Mid-Day Meal Scheme of Beneficiaries

Particulars	Varanasi		Sahar	anpur
	Number	Percent	Number	
Gender Status	•	•	•	
Boys	9	75.0	12	54.5
Girls	3	25.0	10	45.5
Caste Status	•	•	•	
SC	5	41.7	17	77.3
OBC	1	8.3	4	18.2
General	-	-	1	4.5
Minorities	6	50.0	-	-
Yearly amount of scholarship	•		•	I
Below 300.00 Rs.	6	50.0	-	-
300.0 Rs.	5	41.7	20	90.9
Do not know	1	8.3	2	9.1
Time of benefits		•		
Below 12 months	1	8.3	5	22.7
For 12 months	10	83.4	15	68.2
Do not know	1	8.3	2	9.1
Mode of payment				
Cash	12	100.0	21	95.5
Do not know	-	-	1	4.5
Use of amount		•	1	
Clothes for himself	2	16.7	8	36.4
Requirement for family	2	16.7	9	40.9
Take family member	2	16.7	2	9.1
For the study	6	50.0	2	9.1
Do not know	-	-	1	4.5
Venue of Scholarship distribution	•	•	•	•
In school	12	100.0	15	68.2
At home	-	-	6	27.3
No response	-	-	1	4.5
If scholarship continue				
Leave study	-	-	-	-
Not leave study	12	100.0	22	100.0
Regular attendance	10	83.3	21	95.5
Irregular attendance	2	16.7	1	4.5
Wage	1	8.3	1	4.5
Do not wage	11	91.7	21	95.5
If scholarship will closed				
Leave study	4	33.3	2	9.1
Not leave study	8	66.7	20	90.9
Regular study	5	41.7	16	72.7
School going irregular	3	25.0	4	18.2
Wage	4	33.3	3	13.6
Do not wage	8	66.7	19	86.4
Total Student	12	100.0	22	100.0

Annexure – 4.5 (e) Profile of Scholarship Scheme of Beneficiaries

		Varanasi			Saharanpu	r
Particulars	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Caste Status						
SC	5	1	6	3	31	34
	(41.7)	(2.8)	(12.5)	(42.9)	(73.8)	(69.4)
OBC	7	33	40	3	5	8
	(58.3)	(91.7)	(83.3)	(42.9)	(11.9)	(16.3)
General	-	2	2	1	6	7
		(5.5)	(4.2)	(14.2)	(14.3)	(14.3)
Occupation of family		• • •				
Agriculture	-	20	20	1	7	8
-		(55.6)	(41.7)	(14.2)	(16.7)	(16.3)
Agriculture labour	1	1	2	3	9	12
-	(8.3)	(2.8)	(4.2)	(42.9)	(21.4)	(24.5)
Wage	9	9	18	3	22	25
	(75.0)	(25.0)	(37.5)	(42.9)	(52.4)	(51.0)
Shop	1	1	2	-	1	1
	(8.3)	(2.8)	(4.2)		(2.4)	(2.0)
Service	-	1	1	-	1	1
		(2.8)	(2.1)		(2.4)	(2.0)
Artisan	1	4	5	-	2	2
	(8.3)	(11.1)	(10.4)		(4.8)	(4.2)
Occupation of new-literate				1		
Agriculture labour	3	1	4	5	9	14
	(25.0)	(2.8)	(8.3)	(71.4)	(21.4)	(28.6)
Wage	7	-	7	2	-	2
-	(58.3)		(14.6)	(28.6)		(4.2)
Shop	-	3	3	-	-	-
		(8.3)	(6.3)			
Artisan	1	-		-	-	-
0	(8.3)		(2.1)			
Service	1	-	1	-	-	-
	(8.3)		(2.1)			00
Housewife	-	32	32	-	33	33
Level of Primer distribution		(88.9)	(66.7)		(78.6)	(67.3)
	C	40	10	1	07	20
Primer-I	6 (50.0)	12 (33.3)	18 (37.5)	(14.2)	27 (64.3)	28 (57.1)
Primer-II	(50.0)	(33.3)	6	(14.2)	2	2
	(8.3)	(13.9)	(12.5)	-	2 (4.8)	2 (4.2)
Primer-III	(8.3)	19	24	6	13	(4.2)
	(41.7)	(52.8)	(50.0)	(85.8)	(30.9)	(38.8)
Position of letter knowledge of					(00.9)	(00.0)
Know letter knowledge	2	9	11	1	6	7
Kitow letter kitowiedge	(16.7)	(25.0)	(22.9)	(14.2)	(14.3)	(14.3)
Do not know letter knowledge	10	27	37	6	36	42
20 Hot know letter knowledge	(83.3)	(75.0)	(77.1)	(85.8)	(85.7)	(85.7)
	12	36	48	(03.0)	42	49
	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)
	(((()	()	Contd

Annexure – 4.6 (c) Profile of Neo-literate (TLC)

	Varanasi Saharanpur				r	
Particulars	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total
Benefit to new-literate from ce	ntre					
Little knowledge about letters	2	7	9	1	2	3
	(16.7)	(19.4)	(18.8)	(14.3)	(4.8)	(6.1)
Know letters	1	2	3	3	7	10
	(8.3)	(5.6)	(6.2)	(42.9)	(16.7)	(20.4)
Know how to read and write	3	10	13	-	1	1
letters	(25.0)	(27.8)	(27.1)		(2.4)	(2.1)
Write self name	2	8	10	1	3	4
	(16.7)	(22.2)	(20.8)	(14.3)	(7.1)	(8.2)
No benefit	4		15	2	30	32
Robaviaural problem due to il	(33.3)	(30.6)	(31.3)	(28.6)	(71.4)	(65.3)
Behavioural problem due to il	interacy	10	10	2	17	19
Signing	-	(27.8)	(20.8)	(28.6)	(40.5)	(38.8)
Fulfil from	_	(27.0)	(20.8)	(20.0)	(40.5)	(30.0)
	-	(2.8)	(2.1)	-	_	_
Accounting	4	11	15	3	11	14
Accounting	(33.3)	(30.6)	(31.3)	(42.9)	(26.2)	(28.6)
Writing	(00.0)	11	12	3	18	21
	(8.3)	(30.6)	(25.0)	(42.9)	(42.9)	(42.9)
Reading	4	12	16	5	11	16
licating	(33.3)	(33.3)	(33.3)	(71.4)	(26.2)	(32.7)
Travelling	5	9	14	-	4	4
	(41.7)	(25.0)	(29.2)		(9.5)	(8.2)
In every work	3	2	5	2	5	7
,	(25.0)	(5.6)	(10.4)	(28.6)	(11.9)	(14.3)
To teach children	1	4	5	-	-	-
	(8.3)	(11.1)	(10.4)			
Others	-	1	1	-	-	-
		(2.8)	(2.1)			
Problems at the Centre	1		T	r		
Lack of teaching aid	6	10	16	2	5	7
	(50.0)	(27.8)	(33.3)	(28.6)	(11.9)	(14.3)
Not good arrangement of sitting	1	8	9	2	11	13
Ou stas set mus	(8.3)	(22.2)	(18.8)	(28.6)	(26.2)	(26.5)
Centre not run	3	4	7	4	16	20
Nia anala a	(25.0)	(11.1)	(14.6)	(57.1)	(38.2)	(40.8)
No problem	2 (16.7)	11 (30.6)	13 (27.1)	1 (14.3)	7 (16.7)	8 (16.3)
people not interested	(10.7)	(30.0)	2	(14.3)	(10.7)	(10.3)
people not interested	-	(5.6)	(4.2)	-	(2.4)	(2.1)
Lack of proper lightning	1	(3.0)	(4.2)	-	14	14
Each of proper lighting	(8.3)	(11.1)	(10.4)		(33.3)	(28.6)
Do not know	-	6	6	-	-	-
		(16.7)	(12.5)			
Supervision of Centre	1		/		I	
Supervised	2	13	15	-	1	1
-	(16.7)	(36.1)	(31.3)		(2.4)	(2.1)
Not supervised	10	14	24	4	24	28
-	(83.3)	(38.9)	(50.0)	(57.1)	(57.1)	(57.1)
Do not know	-	9	9	3	17	20
		(25.0)	(18.8)	(42.9)	(4.5)	(40.8)
	12	36	48	7	42	49
	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)	(100.0)

Number Percent Number Percent Gender Status	Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
Gender Status 4 40.0 3 30.0 Female 6 60.0 7 70.0 Caste Status SC 1 10.0 6 60.0 SC 1 10.0 6 60.0 7 70.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 70.0 70.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 7 70.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 7 70.0 General 2 20.0 - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 - - House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 7 - - 1 10.0 5 50.0 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	i al doulai o					
Male 4 40.0 3 30.0 Female 6 60.0 7 70.0 Caste Status SC 1 10.0 6 60.0 OBC 8 80.0 1 10.0 General 1 11.0.0 3 30.0 Occupation - - 1 10.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 - - House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign - - 1 10.0 10 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 Sto 6 months - - 1 10.0 Tareining 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey omplete 6 <t< th=""><th>Gender Status</th><th></th><th>1 0100111</th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Gender Status		1 0100111			
Female 6 60.0 7 70.0 Case Status		4	40.0	3	30.0	
Caste Status 1 10.0 6 60.0 SC 1 10.0 6 60.0 OBC 8 80.0 1 10.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 Occupation - - 1 10.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 3 30.0 - - 1 to 2 months - 1 10.0 5 50.0 Sto 6 months - - 1 10.0 10.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 5 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						
SC 1 10.0 6 60.0 OBC 8 80.0 1 10.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 Occupation 2 20.0 2 20.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign - - 1 10.0 1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 2 to 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 <td></td> <td>Ŭ</td> <td>00.0</td> <td></td> <td>70.0</td>		Ŭ	00.0		70.0	
OBC 8 80.0 1 10.0 General 1 10.0 3 30.0 Occupation Cultivator 2 20.0 2 20.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 3 30.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 2 20.0 - - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 1 10.0 5 50.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 3 16 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 10 10.0 5 50.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 30.0 30.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 <		1	10.0	6	60.0	
General 1 10.0 3 30.0 Occupation						
Occupation 2 20.0 2 20.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 9 to 12 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey onplete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 2 Do n				-		
Cultivator 2 20.0 2 20.0 Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 - - 9 to 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 100.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 2 20.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 2 20.0			10.0	0	00.0	
Agriculture labour - - 1 10.0 Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 100.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 5 50.0 9 100.0 Survey or mplete 6 60.0 6 60.0 0 0 0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0	-	2	20.0	2	20.0	
Artisan 2 20.0 - - Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 - - 9 to 12 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment building completed 2 20.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 Teaching period at the centr		-	-	_		
Student 2 20.0 2 20.0 House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months 3 30.0 - - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 10 100.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages - - - - - Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td>20.0</td><td>-</td><td></td></td<>		2	20.0	-		
House-wives 4 40.0 5 50.0 Time of attachment with campaign - - 1 10.0 5 50.0 1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 30.0 - - - 1 10.0 6 6 60.0 - - - 9 10 100.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 A 30.0 A 30.0 A 30.0 A 10.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages Survey of illiterates Survey of illiterates in villages Survey of illite					20.0	
Time of attachment with campaign 1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 - - 9 to 12 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Training - - - - Taken Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages - - - 20.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
1 to 3 months 1 10.0 5 50.0 3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 5 50.0 3 30.0 - - - 1 10.0 6 6 9 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 3 30.0 7 -			40.0	0	00.0	
3 to 6 months - - 1 10.0 6 to 9 months 3 30.0 - <t< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>10.0</td><td>5</td><td>50.0</td></t<>		1	10.0	5	50.0	
6 to 9 months 3 30.0 - - 9 to 12 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 5 50.0 6 60.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 0 0 0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - <			10.0			
9 to 12 months 3 30.0 3 30.0 Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Above 3 hour - - - 1 10.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			30.0		10.0	
Above 12 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 5 50.0 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 20.				- 3	30.0	
Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 5 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 0 0 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 0						
Taken Training 10 100.0 10 100.0 Survey of illiterates in villages 5 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 0 0 1 10.0 2 20.0 0 0 1 10.0 2 20.0 0 0 0 1 10.0 2 20.0 0 0 0 0 2 20.0 0 2 20.0 0 <td></td> <td>5</td> <td>30.0</td> <td>1</td> <td>10.0</td>		5	30.0	1	10.0	
Survey of illiterates in villages Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 2 20.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 7 Teaching period at the centre Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 2 20.0 - - - Time of teaching at the centre Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour - - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Emvilea hour -		10	100.0	10	100.0	
Survey complete 6 60.0 6 60.0 Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 2 20.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Enviroled ho. of neo-literate Nale - -		10	100.0	10	100.0	
Not survey 1 10.0 2 20.0 Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project 2 20.0 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 2 20.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 2 20.0 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - Time of teaching at the centre 8 80.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - -		6	60.0	6	60.0	
Do not know 3 30.0 2 20.0 Environment building for project 2 20.0 3 30.0 Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre 2 20.0 2 20.0 Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - - Time of teaching at the centre -						
Environment building for project Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre 2 20.0 2 20.0 Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate 18 100.0 12 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 7						
Environment building completed 2 20.0 3 30.0 Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 74 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 49 66.2 30 <		5	30.0	2	20.0	
Not start environment 6 60.0 5 50.0 Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre 2 20.0 2 20.0 Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3		2	20.0	3	30.0	
Do not know 2 20.0 2 20.0 Teaching period at the centre Elew 1 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 30.3 100.0 Total V.T. 10 1						
Teaching period at the centre Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0						
Below 1 month 5 50.0 9 90.0 1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 2 20.0 - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Female 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10		2	20.0	2	20.0	
1 to 3 months 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Female 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		5	50.0	0	00.0	
Above 3 months 2 20.0 - - Time of teaching at the centre - - - - Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0						
Time of teaching at the centre Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0					10.0	
Below 1 hour 7 70.0 8 80.0 1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Female 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		2	20.0	-	-	
1 to 2 hour 3 30.0 1 10.0 Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		7	70.0	0	80.0	
Above 2 hour - - 1 10.0 Enrolled no. of neo-literate - 1 10.0 Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 100.0						
Enrolled no. of neo-literate Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 92 100.0 83 100.0 Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0			30.0			
Male 74 100.0 71 100.0 Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		-	-	I	10.0	
Female 18 100.0 12 100.0 Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		71	100.0	71	100.0	
Total 92 100.0 83 100.0 Average attendence of neo-literate 49 66.2 30 42.3 Male 7 38.9 4 33.3 Female 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0						
Average attendence of neo-literate Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0						
Male 49 66.2 30 42.3 Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0		92	100.0	03	100.0	
Female 7 38.9 4 33.3 Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0	C	40	66.0	20	10.0	
Total 56 60.9 34 40.9 Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0						
Total V.T. 10 100.0 10 100.0				-		
				-		
	I OTAL V.I.	10	100.0	10	100.0 Contd	

Annexure – 4.6 (d) Profile of Voluntary Teachers (TLC)

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Reason of irregularity by neo-literate at the	centre				
Domestic work	3	30.0	5	50.0	
More personal work	6	60.0	4	40.0	
Due to wage	5	50.0	5	50.0	
Not interested	3	30.0	2	20.0	
No importance of literacy	2	20.0	4	40.0	
Programme not launched	2	20.0	3	30.0	
Availability of materials at the centre for neo			-		
Primer-I	8	80.0	6	60.0	
Primer-II	4	40.0	2	20.0	
Primer-III	4	40.0	5	50.0	
Chalk Slate	8	80.0	8	80.0	
Pencils	8	80.0	7	70.0	
Supervision of centre	Ŭ	0010	·	1010	
Supervised	4	40.0	-	-	
Not supervised	6	60.0	10	100.0	
Monthly progress report	Ŭ	00.0	10	100.0	
Sent	4	40.0	-	-	
Not sent	6	60.0	10	100.0	
Conduction of centre in absence of V.T.	0	00.0	10	100.0	
Next V.T.	2	20.0	2	20.0	
Family member	1	10.0	1	10.0	
Closed	7	70.0	5	50.0	
Others	-	70.0	2	20.0	
Knowledge about Samiti to V.T.	-	_	2	20.0	
Know Gram Siksha Samitee	2	20.0	7	70.0	
Know Gram Panchayat	5	50.0	7	70.0	
Problem for conducting to the centre	5	50.0	1	70.0	
Lack of educational material	4	40.0	6	60.0	
Not good arrangement of centre	3	30.0	4	40.0	
Less impression	1	10.0	4	40.0	
Non-co-operation of high authorities	2	20.0	4	40.0	
No interest of neo-literate	3	30.0	4	10.0	
No arrangement of light	2	20.0	2	20.0	
No provision of honorarium	2	20.0	2	20.0	
No problem	2	20.0	2	20.0	
Not supervised	2	20.0	3	30.0	
No response	1	10.0	2	20.0	
Suggestion about success of programme	1	10.0	2	20.0	
Timely provide material	6	60.0	4	40.0	
Arrangement of light	4	40.0	2	20.0	
Siting arrangement	3	30.0	1	10.0	
Environment building	3	30.0	5	50.0	
Provide honorarium to V.T.	5	50.0	2	20.0	
Continue supervision	2	20.0	4	40.0	
Sufficient material provide	1	10.0	4	40.0	
Provide allurement to illiterates	-		4	40.0	
		-	1	10.0	
Local cooperation	- 2				
No work after training		20.0	3	30.0	
No response	1	10.0	2	20.0	
Total V.T.	10	100.0	10	100.0	

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Gender status					
Male	9	56.3	6	50.0	
Female	7	43.7	6	50.0	
Social status			•	•	
SC	4	25.0	11	91.7	
OBC	10	62.5	-	-	
General	1	6.3	-	-	
Minority	1	6.3	1	8.3	
Marital status	1	•	I.		
Married	11	68.7	4	33.3	
Widow	4	25.0	8	66.7	
Divorce	1	6.3	-	-	
Households occupation	1	•	1	1	
Agriculture	1	6.3	-	-	
Agricultural labour	1	6.3	7	58.3	
Wages	13	81.1	5	41.7	
Small Shop	1	6.3	-	-	
House Status	·	0.0			
Kachcha	10	62.5	7	58.3	
Hut	5	31.3	2	16.7	
Homeless	1	6.3	3	25.0	
Availability of land for house		0.0	0	20.0	
Land-holder	12	75.0	10	83.3	
Land-less	4	25.0	2	16.7	
Facility in present house	•	20.0	2	10.7	
Bedroom	10	62.5	8	66.7	
Separate kitchen	2	12.5	1	8.3	
Have your know this programme		12.0	•	0.0	
Yes	14	87.5	12	100.0	
No	2	12.5	-	-	
Medium for receiving of the programme	2	12.0			
Gram pradhan	9	56.3	5	41.7	
Panchayat member	2	12.5	2	16.7	
Neighbourer/friend	1	6.3	2	16.7	
Village level worker	2	12.5	3	25.0	
Not effort	2	12.5	-	-	
Reason for not benefited	2	12.0	-		
Not effort	2	12.5	-	-	
Do not know the reason	7	43.7	3	25.0	
Opposed by pradhan	2	12.5	1	16.7	
Block officials not interested	1	6.3	1	16.7	
Did not give bribe	2	12.5	4	33.3	
Not approached	2	12.5	3	25.0	
	16	12.5	12		
Total	10	100.0	12	100.0 Contd	

Annexure – 5.1 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in Indira Awas Yojana

Particulars	Vara	inasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Who is responsible for not benefited	•	•			
Gram pradhan	7	43.7	4	33.3	
Panchayat member	2	12.5	2	16.7	
Village level worker	8	50.0	6	50.0	
Block development officer	7	43.7	5	41.7	
Mediator	1	6.3	-	-	
Neighbourer	1	6.3	-	-	
Self	2	12.5	3	25.0	
Benefit to other poor from this programme?		_	_		
Benefited	8	50.0	10	83.4	
Not benefited	6	37.5	1	8.3	
Can not say	2	15.5	1	8.3	
What is the major draw back of this program		1010		0.0	
Biasness VLW	4	25.0	2	16.7	
Benefited to own	7	47.7	3	25.0	
Bribe is extreme	2	12.5	4	33.3	
No information to real person	6	37.5	1	8.3	
Coordinator is corrupt	2	12.5	3	25.0	
Not provides to poor	5	31.3	2	16.7	
Amount is less	3	18.7	4	33.3	
No draw back	2	12.5	3	25.0	
No response	2	12.5	2	16.7	
Meeting of gram sabha	2	12.0	2	10.7	
Yes	10	62.5	5	41.7	
No	6	37.5	4	33.3	
Do not know	-	-	3	25.0	
Participation in meetings of the gram sabha			0	20.0	
Yes	6	37.5	2	16.7	
No	10	62.5	10	83.3	
Reason for not participate in meeting	10	02.0	10	00.0	
Due to poverty	2	12.5	_	_	
Lack of time	4	25.0	5	41.7	
Hesitation	1	6.3	5	-	
No information about meeting	3	18.7	5	41.7	
Suggestion about the programme for better	-		5	41.7	
Selection should be done by local camp	3	18.8	2	16.7	
	2	12.5	2		
Cash payment Merit-wise selection	5	31.3	2	- 16.7	
Information about the programme should be	2	12.5	4	33.3	
provided	2	12.5	4	33.5	
Participation of poor should be sure	6	37.5	2	16.7	
	8	50.0	4		
Programme should launched in every village Interference of mediator should be banned	8	12.5	4 5	33.3 41.7	
Bribe be banned	2	12.5			
	-	12.3		33.3	
Coverage of this programme to family planing		-	2	16.7	
Informed the reason for not selection	-	-	2	16.7	
Inquired by high authority	-	-	3	25.0	
No response	3	18.8	2	16.7	
Total	16	100.0	12	100.0	

Annexure – 5.1 (b) Profile of Functionaries

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Sahar	anpur
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Working levels	•	L		•
District	3	50.0	5	83.3
Block	3	50.0	1	16.7
Department	•	•	•	•
Education	1	16.7	1	16.7
Rural development	3	50.0	2	33.3
Social development	2	33.3	1	16.7
Health department	-	-	1	16.7
Public distribution	-	-	1	16.7
Social status				
SC	-	-	1	16.7
OBC	2	33.3	1	16.7
General	4	66.7	3	50.0
Minorities	-	-	1	16.7
Education				
Graduate	3	50.0	2	33.3
Post graduate	3	50.0	3	50.0
Others	-	-	1	16.7
Duration of appointment in district				
Below 1 year	3	50.0	3	50.0
1 to 3 year	2	33.3	-	-
3 to 5 year	-	-	2	33.3
Above 5 year	1	16.7	1	16.7
Duration of appointment on post				
Below 1 year	3	50.0	2	33.3
1 to 3 year	1	16.7	1	16.7
Above 3 year	2	33.3	3	50.0
Total	6	100.0	6	100.0

Particulars Varana		inasi	Sahar	anpur
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Suggestion for effectiveness of failure proje	cts	•	1	•
Amendment in guideline	-	-	1	50.0
Provide proper technical information	-	-	1	50.0
Incentive training	1	33.3	-	-
The management of financial distribution and	2	66.7	1	50.0
grant should be provides to institute				
Approval of local body	1	33.3	1	50.0
Recommendation by local body	1	33.3	-	-
Basic problems in selection of beneficiaries		-	•	
Mutual oppose by villagers	-	-	1	50.0
Misunderstanding in panchayat	1	33.3	-	-
Personal temptation in organizer	1	33.3	1	50.0
No awareness in real poor	1	33.3	1	50.0
Receive more application comparatively target	1	33.3	-	-
Political interference in selections	-	-	1	50.0
Due to selections right delegate to gram sabha	-	-	1	50.0
Suggestion for wrong selection process	•			
Not received extra application	1	33.3	-	-
After wrong selection government employee	1	33.3	-	-
should be punished				
Block representative should be present in the	1	33.3	-	-
meeting of gram sabha				
Advertise the scheme before selection	1	33.3	1	50.0
Approval right should be provided to block	2	66.7	1	50.0
Local approval of selection	1	33.3	1	50.0
Coordinator have more work load above ave			-	
More than average	2	66.7	1	50.0
Average	1	33.3	1	50.0
Work pressure on coordinator				
Not followed the duty by subordinates	1	33.3	-	-
Reporting for high authorities	-	-	1	50.0
Verification of complete work	2	66.7	1	50.0
Verification and feed back of field work	1	33.3	1	50.0
Dispose of public complain	1	33.3	1	50.0
Necessary training for coordinators to succ	ess the pro	gramme		
Programme management	-	-	1	50.0
Survey technique	-	-	1	50.0
Evaluation and feedback	1	33.3	-	-
Computer	-	-	1	50.0
To get skill in work	1	33.3	-	-
Suggestions , to free the programme by med	liator and o	dominating	personalit	
Clear guide line for related officers	-	-	1	50.0
General procedure of selection	-	-	1	50.0
Delegate more right to officers	1	33.3	1	50.0
Aware the people	1	33.3	1	50.0
Selection should be by local camp	1	33.3	1	50.0
As soon as possible provide the facility after	1	33.3	1	50.0
selection				
Total	3	100.0	2	100.0 Contd

Annexure – 5.1 (c) Profile of Functionaries about Rural Development Programme

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Sahar	anpur
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Basic problems of district		•	•	•
Low water level	-	-	1	50.0
Flood of Yamuna river	-	-	1	50.0
Poor level of employment	-	-	1	50.0
Problem of housing	1	33.3	-	-
Un-touchability	1	33.3	-	-
Poverty	1	33.3	-	-
Illiteracy	1	33.3	-	-
Total	3	100.0	2	100.0

Particulars	Vara	inasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Caste Status	•		•		
SC	9	47.3	13	86.7	
OBC	10	52.6	1	6.6	
Others	-	-	1	6.6	
Marital Status	1	•	1	•	
Married	18	94.7	13	86.7	
Unmarried	-	-	2	13.3	
Widow	1	5.3	-	-	
Occupation					
Agriculture labour	1	5.3	1	6.6	
Wages	18	94.7	14	93.3	
Income per years in Rs.	_	-			
Below 11,000.00	14	73.7	7	46.7	
11,000 to 14,999.00	5	26.3	6	40.0	
15,000.00 and Above	-	-	2	13.3	
Information about the programme	1	1		. 510	
Yes	15	79.0	13	86.7	
No	2	10.5	2	13.33	
Do not know	2	10.5	-	-	
Have you tried for job	_				
Yes	15	79.0	12	80.0	
No	2	10.5	1	6.7	
Do not know	2	10.5	2	13.3	
If job was not available in lean season by go				1010	
No was present at the time of card distribution	5	26.3	-	-	
Due to pradhan and village panchayat	4	21.0	8	53.3	
Due to contractor	1	5.3	-	-	
Not tried	2	10.5	2	13.3	
Wage rate was low comparatively govt. rate	1	5.3	1	6.7	
Deduction of wages	1	5.3	3	20.0	
Card was not provided	1	5.3	1	6.7	
No response	4	21.0	_	-	
Reason why should you not benefited by the	e programn				
Due to illness/family member	4	21.0	2	13.3	
Migration for the job	1	5.3	2	13.3	
Do not know	4	21.0	1	6.7	
Due to not approach	1	5.3	2	13.3	
Due to voting policy of pradhan	7	36.8	11	73.3	
The labours were hired	1	5.3	1	6.7	
Low demand of labours	2	10.5	1	6.7	
Reason for not benefited by the programme	1		1	I	
Village pradhan	13	68.4	13	86.7	
B.D.O.	2	10.5	1	6.7	
V.L.W.	1	5.3	5	33.3	
Dominating personality	-	-	1	6.7	
Self	4	21.0	2	13.3	
Others	2	10.5	-	-	
Total	19	100.0	15	100.0	
	-			Contd	

Annexure – 5.2 (a) Perception of Non-Beneficiaries under EAS

Particulars	Vara	anasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Were they provided employment of hundred	days per y	ear in this	programme	9	
Yes	9	47.4	-	-	
No	8	42.1	14	94.3	
Do not know	2	10.5	1	6.7	
Why hundred days employment not available	9	1	1	1	
No work of hundred days	1	5.7	5	33.3	
It was only on paper	3	15.7	6	40.0	
Only govt. officials can tell	1	5.3	1	6.7	
Due to lack of budget	1	5.3	-	-	
Due to pradhan/out siders working	2	10.5	2	13.3	
Others	11	58.0	1	6.7	
Village panchayat meeting organized?				_	
Yes	11	57.9	3	20.0	
No	7	36.8	6	40.0	
Do not know	1	5.3	6	40.0	
Reason of not participating in the meeting	-		_		
Busy in personal job	8	42.1	3	20.0	
Our suggestions useless	3	15.8	_	_	
No information	1	5.3	1	6.7	
Not beneficial	1	5.3	_	-	
Others	8	42.1	12	80.0	
Physical changes within five year	Ū		•=	0010	
Kharanja	16	84.2	15	100.0	
Hand-pump	10	52.6	3	20.0	
Electricity	4	21.0	1	6.7	
Panchayat Ghar	4	21.0	1	6.7	
Tube-wells for irrigation	1	5.3	_	-	
Canal	3	15.8	_	_	
No work has been done	2	10.5	_	-	
Education	-	-	1	6.7	
Who have benefited				0.1	
Village prosperous people	6	31.6	7	46.7	
B.D.C.	2	10.5	2	13.3	
Contractor	2	10.5	5	33.3	
Block officials	-	-	2	13.3	
No response	13	68.42	6	40.0	
Quarry about labours		001.1	Ŭ		
Labours from village	12	63.1	13	86.7	
Labour from outside the village	9	47.4	4	26.7	
Others	5	26.3	1	6.7	
If job is not available in the village at the tim	-			0.7	
Nearest town seeking for job	18	94.7	8	53.3	
Rick show pulling/trolley	2	10.5	3	20.0	
Handicrafts	1	5.3	-	-	
Nothing	1	5.3	-	-	
Working in non agricultural sector	-		11	73.3	
Total	19	100.0	15	100.0	
10101	10	100.0	10	Contd	

Particulars	Varanasi		Sahar	anpur			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Suggestions, for creating employment in village							
Subsidy based loaning	3	15.8	1	6.7			
TRYSEM scheme	5	26.3	2	13.3			
Establishment of govt. factory	6	31.6	11	73.3			
Creation of employment in village	3	15.8	-	-			
Programme for rural poors	1	5.3	1	6.7			
Extra department for rural poor	1	5.3	-	-			
Others scheme aided for poor	2	10.5	2	13.3			
If your family benefited by any programme, la	aunched by	y govt?					
Widow Pension Scheme	-	-	2	13.3			
Maternity Benefited Scheme	-	-	1	6.7			
Indira Awas Yojana	7	36.8	-	-			
Sanitation Programme	1	5.3	-	-			
How you benefited from above programme							
Friends	4	21.0	4	26.7			
Pradhan	5	26.3	1	6.7			
Total	19	100.0	15	100.0			

Annexure No. 5.2b Perception on the State of Physical Infrastructure

The physical structure of village have improved or has deteriorated due to programme								
		Vara	nasi			Sahar	anpur	
	Better i	n 5 year	Worst i	n 5 year	Better i	n 5 year	Worst in	n 5 year
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Availability of drinking water	13	68.4	-	-	10	66.7	-	-
Electricity	13	68.4	-	-	6	40.0	-	-
Road/Kharanja	11	57.9	-	-	4	26.7	-	-
Education	8	42.1	1	5.3	6	40.0	2	13.3
Irrigation facilities	3	15.8	-	-	4	26.7	-	-
Transportation	3	15.8	-	-	5	33.3	-	-
Health facility	1	5.3	1	5.3	1	6.7	2	13.3
PDS	4	21.0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other social welfare scheme	3	15.8	-	-	1	6.7	-	-
Total	19	100.0	19	100.0	15	100.0	15	100.0

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Gender Status		•	•		
Male	10	66.7	12	85.7	
Female	5	33.3	2	14.3	
Social Status	•	•	•	•	
SC	6	40.0	12	85.7	
OBC	8	53.3	1	7.1	
General	-	-	1	7.2	
Minority	1	6.7	-	-	
Households Occupation	•	•	•	•	
Agriculture Labour	3	20.0	7	50.0	
Wages	8	53.3	7	50.0	
Service	1	6.7	-	-	
Artisan	3	20.0	-	-	
House Status		•	•		
Small cottage	3	20.0	-	-	
Katcha	8	53.3	4	28.6	
Semi pakka	-	-	6	42.8	
Pakka	4	26.7	4	28.6	
Ownership of House (Mode of Construction))	1		1	
Self	14	93.3	13	92.8	
Govt. aided	1	6.7	1	7.2	
Facilities Available in House	1	1		1	
Latrine	-	-	-	-	
Drinking water	1	6.7	7	50.0	
Drain	3	20.0	7	50.0	
Kitchen	-	-	6	42.8	
Awareness about Scheme	1	1		1	
Yes	15	100.0	14	100.0	
No	-	-	-	-	
Why did not Get Benefit of the scheme	1	1		1	
Secretary/Pradhan told budget is not available	5	33.3	2	14.3	
Fulfillment of quota	3	20.0	1	7.1	
Do not know	5	33.3	2	14.3	
IAY budget was less in comparison to demand	1	6.7	-	-	
Could not get with IAY scheme	1	6.7	-	-	
Not tried	1	6.7	4	28.6	
Bribe was not given	-	-	4	28.6	
Only formality	-	-	1	7.1	
Responsible for not Selecting as Beneficiary	/	1	1	1	
Pradhan	6	40.0	3	21.4	
Panchayat member	-	-	2	14.3	
Village Level Worker	2	13.3	5	35.7	
Block Development Officers	10	66.7	4	28.6	
Relative	1	6.7	4	28.6	
Total	15	100.0	14	100.0	
	10			Contd	

Annexure – 5.3 (a) Distribution of Rural Sanitary Latrines among Non-Beneficiaries

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Perception about use for Latrine in open I	ields	•	•	•	
Difficulty for female members	4	26.7	8	57.1	
Social un-security	1	6.7	-	-	
Environment pollution	4	26.7	3	21.4	
Shyness	6	40.0	1	7.1	
Populated area is increasing	2	13.3	1	7.1	
Danger of disease	1	6.7	1	7.1	
Problem faced by Villages in Latrine	•	•	•	•	
Irregular payment	1	6.7	-	-	
Latrine users are low	1	6.7	-	-	
Lack of awareness	-	-	1	7.1	
Perception about neat and clean village	·	•			
Construction of drain	11	73.3	3	21.4	
Road construction	4	26.7	2	14.3	
Plantation	2	13.3	3	21.4	
Sanitation programme will be launched	1	6.7	4	28.6	
Every villagers try jointly for sanitation	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Play ground for children	1	6.7	-	-	
The involvement of village committee	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Latrines for all	-	-	4	28.6	
Sulabh Latrine should be available	2	13.3	-	-	
Which type of dirty-ness is mostly in village	je	•	•	•	
Water lodging	12	80.0	10	71.4	
Local dirty-ness	3	20.0	9	64.3	
Road problem	1	6.7	1	7.1	
Robust dead body of animals	2	13.3	1	7.1	
No response	1	6.7	1	7.1	
Perception about how can be village neat	and clean				
Construction of drain	11	73.3	5	35.7	
Allotment of gram sabha land	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Construction and repairment of ponds	-	-	4	28.6	
Plantation	-	-	8	57.1	
Latrine system for all	5	33.3	2	14.3	
Construction of sulabh complex	1	6.7	1	7.1	
Total	15	100.0	14	100.0	

Particulars	Vara	inasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Gender Status		•	•	•	
Male	7	46.7	10	71.4	
Female	8	53.3	4	28.6	
Social Status					
SC	5	33.3	11	78.6	
OBC	9	60.0	1	7.1	
Others	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Households Occupation					
Cultivator	-	-	1	7.1	
Agriculture Labour	1	6.7	3	21.4	
Wages	6	40.0	9	64.3	
Artisan	5	33.3	-	-	
Housewife	1	6.7	-	-	
Pension	1	6.7	-	-	
Unemployed/Nothing	1	6.7	1	7.1	
Residential Status					
Small Cottage	5	33.3	-	-	
Kachcha	6	40.0	7	50.0	
Semi Pakka	1	6.7	3	21.4	
Pakka (Own)	-	-	1	7.1	
Pakka (Govt. aid)	3	20.0	3	21.4	
Types of Fuel for Stove					
Traditional Chulha	15	100.0	8	57.1	
Saw Dust (Kanda or Burada)	-	-	5	35.7	
Stove (Kerosene)	-	-	1	7.1	
Benefit of Smokeless Stove					
House status neat and clean	10	66.7	5	35.7	
Saving of fuel	8	53.3	1	7.1	
Not Harmful for eye	2	13.3	1	7.1	
Flame less	1	6.7	-	-	
Reason for not Getting the Smokeless Chulha					
Official demanded for bribe	5	33.3	-	-	
Complete asset was not given	1	6.7	-	-	
Secretary assured	4	26.7	9	64.3	
Not tried	3	20.0	-	-	
Pradhan in differences	-	6.7	3	21.4	
Block in differences	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Which Type of Difficulty Faced by you on your	Chulha				
No trouble (pradhan)	4	26.7	9	64.3	
Smoke of Chulha polluted to house	9	60.0	6	42.9	
Fuel problem in raining season/collecting of woods	4	26.7	7	50.0	
To much expensive	1	6.7	2	14.3	
Pots became dark black or house dark black	4	26.7	-	-	
Hand became blackish	2	13.3	-	-	
Total	15	100.0	14	100.0	

Annexure – 5.4 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Improved Chulha Programme

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Using Method				
Difficult	-	-	1	7.14
Common	3	20.0	7	50.0
Easy	6	40.0	3	21.4
Suggestion for Improvement in Smokeless Chu	ulha Schem	e		
Free distribution of chulha	6	40.0	3	21.4
Do not know	9	60.0	11	78.6
Training	1	6.7	1	7.1
Awareness programme launched	4	26.7	3	21.4
Wood (Burada) dust be made available	1	6.7	1	7.1
Suggestion for Cooking Energy				
Gas Burner on subsidy rate (LPG)	7	46.7	5	35.7
Free distribution of Chulha	3	20.0	2	14.3
Kerosene oil available subsidy rate	-	-	1	7.1
For all	1	6.7	3	21.4
No response	4	26.7	3	21.4
Total	15	100.0	14	100.0

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Age status	1	1	1		
60 – 69 years	13	78.1	6	40.0	
70 years and above	3	18.7	9	60.0	
Caste status	-		-		
SC	6	37.5	12	80.0	
OBC	9	56.3	-	-	
General	1	6.2	3	20.0	
From which medium you got information abo	out the pro		U	20.0	
Gram pradhan	15	93.7	12	80.0	
Neighbourer	-	-	2	13.3	
Relative	1	6.3	1	6.7	
What was the reason of your not benefiting	•	0.0	•	0.7	
Do not know	7	43.7	1	6.7	
Block officials told about lack of budget	6	37.5	6	40.0	
Not provide the bribe	2	12.5	0	40.0	
When form was filled up respondent was not	1	6.2	- 1	6.7	
present	1	0.2	1	0.7	
Due to voting policy	1	6.2	4	26.7	
I have no any certificate of approval the age	1	6.2	-	-	
Due to corruption	-	-	4	26.7	
What is the sources of your livelihood	•		•		
Wages	6	37.5	5	33.3	
Other domestic work	2	12.5	2	13.3	
Guard	1	6.2	-	-	
Dependency on others	8	50.0	8	53.4	
What other requirements that your source of	f livelihood		meet?		
Medical expenses	9	56.2	7	46.7	
Food grains and cloths	8	50.0	9	60.0	
Travelling	1	6.2	1	6.7	
How do you meet your day to day requirement	nt of livelih			•	
Dependency	10	62.5	9	60.0	
Any how	3	18.7	5	33.3	
Wages	3	18.7	1	6.7	
Difficulties faced by you due to old age	_			•	
Unable to expense for the remedies	7	43.7	4	26.7	
Unable to wage	1	6.2	2	13.3	
Problem for livelihood	10	62.5	10	66.7	
Ignorance by family	2	12.5	1	6.7	
Weakness	2	12.5	2	13.3	
Suggestions about the better life for old age	_			1 2.2	
Free hospital facility	4	25.0	2	13.3	
More amount and for more people	8	50.0	9	60.0	
Availability of food grains, cloths and housing	9	56.2	5	13.3	
without payment		0.0.2	Ĵ		
Neutrality of pradhan and other officials	-	-	1	6.7	
Providing job and economic benefits to old age	-	-	1	6.7	
people					

Annexure – 6.1 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Old Age Pension Scheme

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Household occupation				•
Agriculture labour	3	27.3	-	-
Wages	7	63.6	3	75.0
Businessmen	-	-	1	25.0
Artisan	1	9.1	-	-
Marital status		•	•	•
Married	2	18.2	-	-
Unmarried	-	-	1	25.0
Widow	9	81.8	3	75.0
Reason of death		•	•	•
Accident	1	9.1	1	25.0
Disease	10	90.9	3	75.0
Occupation of deceased person	-	•	•	
Wages	7	63.6	3	75.0
Businessmen	-	-	1	25.0
Artisan	4	36.4	-	-
Why could not you benefit from the scheme	?			
Corruption	2	18.2	-	-
Demand of bribe	2	18.2	1	25.0
Effort is continue	5	45.4	2	50.0
Secretary is dishonest	-	-	1	25.0
Lack of approach	2	18.2	-	-
Nature of liabilities transferred to the dependent	dents?			
Care of children	9	81.8	1	25.0
Marriage of daughter	3	27.3	1	25.0
Disease	1	9.1	1	25.0
Education of child	2	18.2	-	-
Dependent	-	-	2	50.0
No any problem	2	18.2	-	-
Who was responsible for not providing you	the benefit	of the prog	gramme?	
Gram pradhan	4	36.4	1	25.0
Secretary	5	45.4	1	25.0
B.D.O.	1	9.1	1	25.0
Lekhpal	1	9.1	1	25.0
What has been your source of livelihood?	1			
Wage	8	72.7	2	50.0
Other house work	2	18.2	1	25.0
Beggary	1	9.1	1	25.0
Does that meets out your requirements?	1			
Yes	3	27.3	3	75.0
No	8	72.7	1	25.0
Total	11	100.0	4	100.0

Annexure – 6.2 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Family Benefit Scheme

Particulars	Vara	inasi	Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
If no, please express the requirement		•	•		
Meal	1	12.5	-	-	
Medicine	1	12.5	-	-	
Child education	4	36.4	-	-	
All basic need	1	12.5	-	-	
Livelihood	3	37.5	-	-	
Dependency	-	-	1	100.0	
Total respondent	8	100.0	1	100.0	
After the death of main-bread winner what w	ere the pro	blem faced	d by you?	•	
Meal	2	18.2	1	25.0	
Medicine	3	27.3	-	-	
Child education	4	36.4	1	25.0	
Dependency	6	54.5	-	-	
Marriage of Daughter	1	9.1	2	50.0	
Your opinion on have to provide assistance	to those fa	milies who	have list t	heir main	
bread winner					
Provide money	3	27.3	1	25.0	
Employment	3	27.3	-	-	
Honesty	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Pension	2	18.2	1	25.0	
Corruption in block	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Has the programme benefited the people of	the region/	village	•	•	
Yes	1	9.1	1	25.0	
No	7	63.6	2	50.0	
Do not know	3	27.3	1	25.0	
If no what was the reason		•	•		
No information	5	45.4	-	-	
Demand of bribe	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Non-cooperation of pradhan	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Lack of money	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Not approach	2	18.2	-	-	
Due to Lekhpal	1	9.1	1	25.0	
Suggestion on how to improve the performa	nce of the	programme	9	•	
Propaganda	6	54.5	1	25.0	
Benefit at once after death	2	18.2	2	50.0	
Debar bribe	3	27.3	4	100.0	
Cooperative nature of pradhan and secretary	2	18.2	1	25.0	
Not approach	1	9.1	-	-	
Lack of money	1	9.1	-	-	
Source of information about the programme			I	1	
Gram pradhan	7	63.6	2	50.0	
Secretary	1	9.1	1	25.0	
B.D.O.	1	9.1	-	-	
Neighbourer	1	9.1	-	-	
Relative	1	9.1	1	25.0	
			•		
Lekhpal	1	9.1	-	-	

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Caste status	•		•	•	
SC	3	18.3	8	61.5	
OBC	13	81.3	1	7.7	
General	-	-	4	39.4	
Marital status	•		•	•	
Married	15	93.7	13	100.0	
Widow	1	6.3	-	-	
Household occupation	•		•	•	
Cultivators	5	31.3	4	30.8	
Agriculture labour	-	-	1	7.7	
Wages	9	56.3	7	53.8	
Small shop	1	6.2	-	-	
Artisan	-	-	1	7.7	
Housewife	1	6.2	-	-	
Did you approach for the benefit of the sch	neme?	•	1		
Yes	12	75.0	13	100.0	
No	4	25.0	-	-	
How many times did you approach the pro	gramme fund)		
First	8	50.0	6	46.2	
Second	8	50.0	7	53.8	
Whom did you approach for getting assista	ance in the p	programme			
Gram pradhan	9	56.2	4	30.4	
Block official	1	6.3	2	15.4	
Official of health department	2	12.5	3	23.1	
Family member	2	12.5	1	7.7	
Self interest	2	12.5	3	23.1	
Problems faced by you during your confine			U U		
Medicine	7	43.7	4	30.8	
Money	2	12.5	-	-	
Health	1	6.3	2	15.4	
Proper care	1	6.3	-	-	
Harassment	1	6.3	-	-	
Nutrition	1	6.3	-	-	
Others	3	18.6	7	53.8	
Were you provided free food	Ŭ	10.0		00.0	
Yes	2	12.5	5	38.5	
No	14	87.5	8	61.5	
Were you provided immunization facility		07.0		0110	
Yes	9	56.3	12	92.3	
No	7	43.7	1	7.7	
Has those been any early child education of					
Yes	11	68.8	age: 8	61.5	
No	2	12.5	3	23.1	
Do not know	3	12.5	2	15.4	
	16				
Total	10	100.0	13	100.0	

Annexure – 6.3 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Maternity Benefit Scheme

Particulars	Vara	nasi	Sahar	anpur
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
If yes, does your child go to this child centre)			
Yes	2	12.5	2	15.4
No	14	87.5	11	84.6
Do you know that the govt. provide Rs. 500.0	00 after the	birth of gi	rl child	•
Yes	7	43.7	6	46.2
No	4	25.0	4	30.8
Do not know	5	31.3	3	23.0
If no, please state the reason for not sending	9			
Small	2	12.5	-	-
Poor	1	6.3	-	-
Irregularity of centre	1	6.3	1	7.7
Not proper care in centre	1	6.3	-	-
Centre only show pees	-	-	1	7.7
Centre for SC child	3	18.6	-	-
No response	8	50.0	11	84.6
Have those been any organized by Aganwad	li workers f		ge group o	f women
Yes	2	12.5	5	38.5
No	10	62.5	7	53.8
Do not know	4	25.0	1	7.7
Why could not you take this benefit				
Due to poverty	1	6.3	-	-
Due to secretary	1	6.3	4	30.7
Lack of approach	1	6.3	-	-
Lack of fund	1	6.3	5	38.5
Due to corruption	6	37.5	-	-
Due to A.N.M.	-	-	2	15.4
Due to pradhan	6	37.5	2	15.4
Whom do you blaim for your non-selection?				-
Gram pradhan	5	31.3	5	38.5
Block official	5	31.2	6	46.2
Office of health department	2	12.5	2	15.3
Difficult process	2	12.5	-	-
Others	2	12.5	-	-
Are you infavour of small family	r			
Yes	15	93.7	12	92.3
No	1	6.3	1	7.7
Why are you in favour of small family				
Small family happy family	4	25.0	4	30.8
Price rise	3	18.7	1	7.7
proper care	8	50.0	5	38.5
Two child are sufficient	1	6.3	3	23.0
Total	16	100.0	13	100.0

Particulars	Vara	Varanasi		Saharanpur	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Gender status					
Male	6	75.0	5	55.6	
Female	2	25.0	4	44.4	
Social status					
SC	1	12.3	3	33.3	
OBC	4	50.0	2	22.2	
General	2	25.0	4	44.4	
Minorities	1	12.5	-	-	
Age classification	•				
Below 20 years	3	37.5	5	55.6	
20 to 35 years	2	25.0	3	33.3	
35 years and above	3	37.5	1	11.1	
Household occupation	•	•	•	•	
Cultivator	-	-	5	55.6	
Agricultural labour	-	-	2	22.2	
Wages	5	62.5	2	22.2	
Shopkeeper	1	12.5	-	-	
Artisan	2	25.0	-	-	
Nature of handicap				•	
By birth	2	25.0	6	66.7	
After birth	6	75.0	3	33.3	
Whether doctors certificate was provided				•	
Yes	5	62.5	7	77.8	
No	3	37.5	2	22.2	
Mode of information				•	
Gram Pradhan	7	87.5	4	44.4	
Communication	-	-	1	11.1	
Heighbour/Friend	-	-	3	33.3	
Panchayat member	1	12.5	1	11.1	
Reason for not getting scheme benefit	•			•	
Do not know	5	62.5	1	11.1	
Unawareness	1	12.5	-	-	
Recently handicapped	1	12.5	-	-	
Not tried	1	12.5	6	66.7	
Lack of budget	-	-	1	11.1	
Due to poverty	-	-	1	11.1	
Source of livelihood					
Labours	1	12.5	-	-	
Others domestic work	-	-	1	11.1	
Dependent upon others	7	87.5	8	88.9	
Nature and extent of handicap	· ·				
Could do no work	4	50.0	6	66.7	
Could not lift weight	4	50.0	2	22.2	
Could not do physical labour	-	-	1	11.1	
Total	8	100.0	9	100.0	
10(0)	0	100.0	5	Contd	

Annexure – 6.7 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Handicapped Scheme

Particulars	Vara	Varanasi		Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Responsibilities upon you						
No	5	62.5	7	77.8		
To look after wife	1	12.5	-	-		
To look after family	1	12.5	-	-		
To look after self	1	12.5	2	22.2		
Did you ever feel that the society neglected you						
Yes	6	75.0	7	77.8		
No	2	25.0	2	22.2		
Your suggestion for making the life of handicap reason smooth happy						
Source of livelihood arranged	1	12.5	-	-		
Housing	1	12.5	1	11.1		
Special facilities	1	12.5	-	-		
Help for self dependent	5	62.5	6	66.7		
Special department be opened	-	-	1	11.1		
Service	-	-	1	11.1		
Total	8	100.0	9	100.0		

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur	
	Number	Percent	Number	
Social status			•	
SC	2	15.4	8	57.1
OBC	7	53.8	3	21.4
General	2	15.4	3	21.4
Minorities	2	15.4	-	-
Household occupation	-		•	
Agriculture labour	2	15.4	5	35.7
Wages	11	84.6	9	64.3
Reason of husband's death	-		•	
Illness	10	76.9	12	85.7
Accident	2	15.4	1	7.1
Natural	1	7.7	1	7.1
Nature of liabilities transferred to you after the	death of ye	our husbai	nd	
Responsibility of family	8	61.5	11	78.6
Non-cooperation of family	-	-	5	35.7
Misbehave by family	1	7.7	3	21.4
Self	2	15.4	1	7.1
Poverty	2	15.4	-	-
Marriage of daughter	1	7.7	2	14.2
Education of children	1	7.7	-	-
On the verge of dying from hunger	-	-	1	7.1
Did you have the information about the program	тте			
Yes	11	84.6	12	85.7
No	2	15.4	1	7.1
Do not know	-	-	1	7.1
Did you make an effort to avail the benefit of se	cheme			
Yes	9	69.2	11	78.6
No	4	30.8	3	21.4
Reason for not getting benefited in this progra	mme			
Pradhan	3	23.1	5	35.7
Secretary	-	-	3	21.4
Panchayat member	-	-	1	7.1
Due to block officials	5	38.5	4	28.6
No information	3	23.1	-	-
Not effort	4	30.8	3	21.4
Who was responsible for not getting benefit				
Gram pradhan	7	53.8	5	35.7
Panchayat member	1	7.7	2	14.3
Lekhpal	3	23.1	1	7.1
B.D.O.	4	30.8	5	35.7
Self	1	7.7	-	-
Others	-	-	2	14.3
Total	13	100.0	14	100.0

Annexure – 6.8 (a) Profile of Non-Beneficiary in National Widow Pension Scheme

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
What do you think the major problem of widows in the village is?						
Difficulty in organizing	3	23.1	3	21.4		
Poor state of socio-economic condition	5	38.5	2	14.3		
Neglect of society	3	23.1	2	14.3		
Dependency on other	3	23.1	1	7.1		
Housing problem	2	15.4	-	-		
Health problem	1	7.7	1	7.1		
Problem of bexy alone	-	-	1	7.1		
Suggestions about better life for widows		•	•	•		
Provide cash money	3	23.1	1	7.1		
Ban on bribe	3	23.1	2	14.3		
Benefited real person	2	15.4	3	21.4		
Increased in assistance amount	1	7.7	2	14.3		
Coordinator should be honest	4	30.8	2	14.3		
Facility of residence	2	15.4	3	21.4		
Timely payment	1	7.7	-	-		
Fully subsidy loan	-	-	7	50.0		
Have you faced the problem of social negligen	ce	•	•	•		
Yes	4	30.8	6	42.8		
No	9	69.2	8	57.1		
Did you get the benefit of scheme after the death of your husband						
Yes	-	-	3	21.4		
No	13	100.0	11	78.6		
Total	13	100.0	14	100.0		

Annexure – 6.9 Perception of Maternity Benefit Scheme Beneficiaries about Different Scheme

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Relevance of Maternity Benefit Scheme	-				
Cooperation	9	47.8	14	60.9	
Helpful in health care	9	47.4	14	60.9	
Helpful in delivery care	6	31.6	12	52.2	
Helpful in family welfare care	1	5.3	2	8.7	
Self dependent	4	21.0	4	17.4	
Awareness	4	21.0	7	30.4	
Helpful in child care	2	10.5	8	34.8	
Relevance of Benefit (Non-formal Education)			•	•	
Helpful in child care	5	26.3	10	43.5	
Child health care	5	26.3	8	34.8	
Benefit of education	5	26.3	4	17.4	
Relevance of Benefit (Supplementary Nutrition	Programm	e)			
Food grains for foods to poor children	5	26.3	7	30.4	
Motivation for going at centres	4	21.0	9	39.2	
Trained for child care trained person	4	21.0	2	8.7	
Children health care	3	15.8	7	30.4	
Relevance of Benefit (Immunization)			•	•	
Prevention from in curable disease	4	21.0	11	47.8	
Helpful in vomb mothers care	5	26.3	7	30.4	
Safety from titness child and mothers	7	36.8	16	69.6	
Relevance of Benefit (Health Checkup)			•	•	
Helpful in child and mother's health care	6	31.6	14	60.9	
Look after of pregnant children	9	47.4	17	73.9	
Safety from disease of delivery	6	31.6	4	17.4	
Relevance of Benefit (Referral Services)			•	•	
Properly child care	5	26.3	12	52.2	
Category wise child status	6	31.6	7	30.4	
Checkup from disease	4	21.1	11	47.8	
Awareness about children disease and their	4	21.1	1	4.4	
diagnosis					
Remedy without fees	1	5.3	7	30.4	
Relevance of Benefit (Balika Sammridhi Yojana)					
Improvement in social condition of girls	8	42.1	12	52.2	
Helpful in health care	5	26.3	13	56.5	
Economic benefit for family	4	21.1	7	30.4	
Total	19	100.0	23	100.0	

Annuxure – 6.9 (a)						
Problem of Maternity Benefit Scheme Beneficiaries about Different Scheme						

Particulars	Varanasi		Saharanpur	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Problem (Maternity Benefit Scheme				
Lack of awareness	5	26.3	7	30.4
Uncertainty of payment	9	47.4	15	65.2
In sufficient quantity of fixed amount	3	15.8	11	47.8
Mere formality	5	26.3	8	34.8
Corruption in selection process	5	26.3	4	17.4
Neutrality of programme functionaries	2	10.5	4	17.4
Problem (Non-formal Education)				
Only written formalities fulfilled	5	26.3	6	26.1
Irregularity in centre conducting	9	47.4	9	39.1
No siting arrangement at centre	3	15.8	7	30.4
No place for centre	2	10.5	4	17.4
Corruption in item distribution	1	5.3	5	21.7
Unqualified sevika	2	10.5	7	30.4
No inspection	2	10.5	7	30.4
Problem (Supplementary Nutrition Programme)				
Corruption in material distribution	3	15.8	7	30.4
Material provided irregular	5	26.3	11	47.8
Favourable distribution from sevika	7	36.8	10	43.5
Materials distribution not being according to	4	21.1	6	26.1
standard				
Material is not according to local demand	1	5.3	3	13.0
Problem (Immunisation)				
Sub centre is far from village	3	15.8	6	13.0
Lack of medicines more frequency of up down	6	31.6	14	60.9
A.N.M. do not visit in village	6	31.6	9	39.1
Demand for bribe	1	5.3	9	39.1
Problem (Health Checkup)		r	r	
Only formalities continue	3	15.8	12	52.2
Bribe taken for visit	6	31.6	9	39.1
A.N.M. visit only for dominate person	7	36.8	12	52.2
Problem (Refer Service)	1			
Only formalities continue	4	21.1	16	69.6
Category fixing is wrong	5	26.3	12	52.2
Lack of awareness in sevika	6	31.6	6	26.1
Any body do not visit to mal nutrition children	4	21.1	4	17.4
Problem (Balika Sammridhi Yojana)				
Unaware about programme	7	36.8	10	43.5
Only one benefit given maternity	4	21.1	12	52.2
B.R. or Balika Sammridhi	1	5.3	7	30.4
Continue only paper	3	15.8	3	13.0
Neutrality of responsible officers	2	10.5	2	8.7
Total	19	100.0	23	100.0